

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,242	07/24/2006	Mark Watson	MTR.0121US	4283
21906 TROP PRIINI	7590 03/04/2009 ER & HU, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1616 S. VOSS	ROAD, SUITE 750	CHAKOUR, ISSAM		
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/551,242	WATSON ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
ISSAM CHAKOUR	2617			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent	term adjustment.	See 37	CFR 1.704(b).	

S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20090227				
Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Not	tice of Informal Patent Application				
Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's Pai			erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date				
Attachment(s)		_					
	etailed Office action for a list of		•				
	from the International Bureau (I		•				
-			been received in this National Stage				
_	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
·— ·—	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<i>,</i> —	s made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §							
.—							
	• .,		tached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
	equest that any objection to the dra		abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	ed on is/are: a) accept						
	s objected to by the Examiner.						
Application Papers							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· _	re needing in the application						
Disposition of Claims							
closed in accorda	nce with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
2a) ☐ This action is FIN	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December 2008.</u> This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
	mmunication(s) filed on 02 Dec	ambar 2009					
Status							

Application/Control Number: 10/551,242 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendments and arguments filed on 12/03/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 1, 5, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Sinnarajah et al (US 2003/0114177).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Sinnarajah discloses a method of providing a service to wireless stations through a telecommunication network (See figure 1), the service being identified by a unique service identifier (See paragraph [0037], line 10) stored in the telecommunication network and in at least one subscriber station (See paragraph [0037], line 12) among said wireless stations (See figure 1, items 114), the method comprising the steps of:

determining a paging identifier in the telecommunication network and at said subscriber station (See claim 13, lines 6-8), by applying a hash function to a data string (See paragraph [0060], lines 25-29) including at least part of the unique service identifier (e.g. IMSI);

associating said subscriber station with the determined paging identifier (See claim 13); and

prior to transmitting information pertaining to the service over a broadcast channel,

transmitting a paging message (See paragraph [0059], line 3) incorporating said paging

Page 3

identifier to the wireless stations (See claim 9).

4. Regarding claim 5, Sinnarajah teaches means for participating in the provision of

services to wireless stations, wherein the means comprising:

means for storing unique service identifiers respectively identifying the services (e.g.

RAM or EEPROM, see paragraph [0087]); means for determining a respective paging

identifier associated with each of the services (See claim 13), by applying a hash

function to a data string including at least part of the unique identifier for said service

(See paragraph [0060], lines 25-29); and means for transmitting a paging message

incorporating the paging identifier associated with one of the services to the wireless

stations, prior to transmitting information pertaining to said one of the services over a

broadcast channel (See paragraph [0059], line 3).

5. Regarding claim 6, Sinnarajah discloses the means as in claim 5, wherein the

information pertaining to the service transmitted over the broadcast channel includes

the unique service identifier (See paragraph [0037], line 10).

6. Regarding claim 8, Sinnarajah discloses a wireless station for communicating

through a telecommunication network (Fig. 1), the wireless station comprising:

means for storing (e.g. RAM or EEPROM, see paragraph [0087]) at least one unique

Application/Control Number: 10/551,242 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

service identifier (See paragraph [0037], line 10) identifying a respective service to which the station has a subscription (See claim 13):

means for determining a paging identifier (See claim 13), by applying a hash function to a data string including at least part of the unique service identifier (See paragraph [0060], lines 25-29); and

means for receiving a paging message (See claim 25) incorporating said paging identifier and (See claim 9), in response thereto, switching to reception over a broadcast channel to receive information pertaining to the service as transmitted from the telecommunication network: (See claim 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 2, 4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinnarajah in view of Aune (US 2002/0010683).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,242 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

- 4. Regarding claims 2 and 9 Sinnarajah discloses a method as claimed in claims 1 and claim 8 respectively, wherein the information pertaining to the service, transmitted over the broadcast channel (See abstract), includes the unique service identifier (See paragraph [0037], line 10), and wherein a wireless station associated with said paging identifier (See claim 13, lines 6-8) responds to the paging message by switching to the broadcast channel (See paragraph [0045], lines 10-11) and receiving the transmitted unique service identifier (See claim 25). Sinnarajah does not explicitly teach checking whether the received service identifier matches the service identifier stored in said wireless station. However, Aune does disclose checking whether the received service identifier matches the service identifier stored in said wireless station (See paragraph [0027], line 4-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate in Sinnarajah's invention the step of checking whether the detected service identifier matches the stored unique service identifier matches the stored unique identifier as taught by Aune because the wireless station has to authenticate the service identifier in order to receive the proper information about the channel to tune to for the service.
- 5. Regarding claim 4, Sinnarajah discloses the method in accordance with claim 1. Sinnarajah does not explicitly teach the method wherein the unique identifier includes an address associated with the service and an indication of a scope within which said address is unique. However, Aune discloses a method wherein a unique identifier includes an address associated with the service and an indication of a scope (e.g. APN).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/551,242

Art Unit: 2617

or IP) within which said address is unique (See paragraph [0005], see also paragraph [0038], lines 7-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ a unique address and indication such as APN as taught by Aune in the unique service identifier associated with a particular service as taught by Sinnarajah, because the service has to be distinguished in order to relate the particular requested service or IP address to the subscriber.

- Claims 3, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinnaraiah in view of Corriveau (US 5.918.177).
- 7. Regarding claims 3, 7, and 10, Sinnarajah teaches the limitations in accordance with claims 1,5 and 8 respectively. Sinnarajah fails to further teach the method wherein said data string further includes an indication of a type of the service. However, Corriveau, discloses a method in which the paging message or data string (See figure 3) includes an indication of a type of service (See column 2, lines 3-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the feature as taught by Corriveau in Sinnarajah's invention in order to distinguish among the type of service requested by the mobile station or forwarded to by the Mobile Switching Center. Moreover, because of the multitude of available services at the time of the invention claimed by the applicant, every type of service available to the cellular technology, such as voice, data, or fax is to be identified as such by a specific indication sequence of data.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/03/2008 have been fully considered but they are

Application/Control Number: 10/551,242
Art Unit: 2617

-11 Offit. 2017

not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, 5, 6, and 8, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the traverse made by the applicant.

In claims 1, 5, and 8, the applicant submitted that Sinnarajah does not disclose a service identifier that identifies a service. The applicant suggests and submits that the reference applied does not teach as in claim 1 and 5 transmitting a paging message incorporating the paging identifier to the wireless stations. The reference applied also is said to have no element of receiving a paging message incorporating said paging identifier and in response thereto the wireless station switches to reception over a broadcast channel to receive information pertaining to the service as transmitted from the telecommunication network. The applicant further adds that Sinnarajah does not teach the hash function is utilized to determine a paging identifier that is incorporated into a paging message as in claims 1, 5, and 8, but rather related to determining a paging channel on which a subscriber station will receive paging messages.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the traverse made by the applicant regarding the aforementioned claims. The reference teaches the broadcast service identifier (e.g. BSR_ID, see [0036], lines 5-6) which identifies the broadcast service so that the channel carrying the service could be mapped to the appropriate user station upon paging requests multiple users multimedia broadcast. This identifier represents the unique service physical channel and it is included in the service physical channel. On the other hand the HSBS_ID is the identifier representing the broadcast service logical channel, and it is added to the paging set in order send paging messages in

Application/Control Number: 10/551,242

Art Unit: 2617

accordance with the frequencies that the user station is tuned to when service is requested by the user station (See [0066], lines 9-10). The examiner notes also that Sinnarajah does teach the hashing function being applied to the paging channel (See [0060], lines 28-31) and therefore to monitor the paging message which includes the paging identifier.

Therefore, in accordance with 35 U.S. 102 (e), Sinnarajah anticipates and discloses all the limitations as disclosed by the applicant in claims 1, 5, 6, and subsequently 8 since the process of receiving and transmitting is a parallel inter-change process.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, and 10, the applicant submits that these claims are allowable at least by virtue of their dependency on previous independent claims. The examiner respectfully disagrees and acknowledges the applicant that claims 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, and 10 inherit the deficiency of claims 1, 5, and 8 and therefore they are rejected as indicated above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/551,242

Art Unit: 2617

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ISSAM CHAKOUR whose telephone number is (571) 270-5889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Perez Rafael can be reached on (571) 272-7915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/I. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617